<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>CCS Responsiveness Rating Scale for i2I (Innovation to Impact)</th>
<th>Priority for funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **4.2 – 5.0** | Very responsive  
- The proposed work is strongly related to the overarching goal(s) of the original Innovation/CBCF grant.  
- There were many significant achievements resulting from the original Innovation/CBCF grant to warrant progression to the i2I program.  
- The primary focus of the project is very well aligned with the specific research area(s) and scope described in the funding opportunity. | High |
| **3.4 – 4.1** | Somewhat responsive  
- The proposed work is somewhat related to the overarching goal(s) of the original Innovation/CBCF grant.  
- There were some significant achievements resulting from the original Innovation/CBCF grant to warrant progression to the i2I program.  
- The primary focus of the project is somewhat aligned with the specific research area(s) and scope described in the funding opportunity. | Medium |
| **3.0 – 3.3** | Not very responsive  
- The proposed work is loosely related to the overarching goal(s) of the original Innovation/CBCF grant.  
- There were few significant achievements resulting from the original Innovation/CBCF grant to warrant progression to the i2I program.  
- The primary focus of the project is not well aligned with specific research area(s) and scope described in the funding opportunity. | Low |
| **Unscored (mark as 0.01)** | The application was triaged by the panel and not discussed. The research project shows very little to no relation to the overarching goal(s) of the original Innovation/CBCF grant, there were little to no significant achievements resulting from the original Innovation/CBCF grant, and there was little to no alignment to the specific research area(s) and scope described in the funding opportunity. | None |