<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Nominee Rating Scale – Robert L. Noble &amp; O. Harold Warwick Prizes (established career)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4.7-5.0 | - Exceptional evidence of scientific leadership on a national and international scale  
- Exceptional contributions/discoveries made to date in their scientific discipline  
- Exceptional research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding  
- Exceptional and relevant recognition through honours and awards  
- Exceptional track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers  
- Exceptional impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population and internationally |
| 4.3-4.6 | - Excellent evidence of scientific leadership on a national and international scale  
- Excellent contributions/discoveries made to date in their scientific discipline  
- Excellent research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding  
- Excellent and relevant recognition through honours and awards  
- Excellent track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers  
- Excellent impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population and internationally |
| 3.9-4.2 | - Very good evidence of scientific leadership on a national and/or international scale  
- Very good contributions/discoveries made to date in their scientific discipline  
- Very good research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding  
- Very good recognition through honours and awards  
- Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers  
- Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population and/or internationally |
| 3.5-3.8 | - Good evidence of some scientific leadership in Canada  
- Good contributions/discoveries made to date in their scientific discipline  
- Good research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding  
- Good recognition through honours and awards  
- Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers  
- Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population and/or internationally |
| 3.0-3.4* | - Fair candidate who has limited evidence of scientific leadership in Canada  
- Fair contributions made to date to their scientific discipline  
- Fair research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding  
- Fair recognition through honours and awards  
- Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers  
- Limited impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population or internationally |
| Below 3.0 | - Poor candidate who has no evidence of scientific leadership in Canada  
- Poor contributions made to date in their scientific discipline |
| 
| --- |
| *Poor research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding*
| *Poor recognition through honours and awards*
| *Poor track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers*
| *Limited to no impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population or internationally*

*Nominees scoring below 3.5 as an average of final scores will not be considered eligible to receive a prize.*