<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Nominee Rating Scale - Bernard and Francine Dorval &amp; William E. Rawls Prizes (early career)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4.7-5.0| • Exceptional candidate who is extremely likely to become (or has already become) a leader in cancer research in Canada and internationally  
• Exceptional contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and potential impact of future contributions based on track record  
• Exceptional research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage  
• Exceptional track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel  
• Exceptional track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards |
| 4.3-4.6| • Excellent candidate who is likely to become (or has already become) a leader in cancer research in Canada and internationally  
• Excellent contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and potential impact of future contributions based on track record  
• Excellent research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage  
• Excellent track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel  
• Excellent track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards |
| 3.9-4.2| • Very good candidate who has the potential to become a leader in cancer research in Canada and internationally  
• Very good contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and potential impact of future contributions based on track record  
• Very good research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage  
• Very good track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel  
• Very good track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards |
| 3.5-3.8| • Good candidate who has some potential to become a leader in cancer research in Canada and internationally  
• Good contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and potential impact of future contributions based on track record  
• Good research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage  
• Good track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel  
• Good track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards |
| 3.0-3.4*| • Fair candidate who has limited potential to become a leader in cancer research in Canada  
• Fair contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and limited potential impact of future contributions based on track record  
• Fair research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage  
• Fair track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel  
• Fair track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards |
| Below 3.0| • Poor candidate who has low potential to become a leader in cancer research in Canada |
| • Poor contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and low potential to yield impactful contributions in the future based on track record  
• Poor research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage  
• Poor track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel  
• Poor track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards |

*Nominees scoring below 3.5 as an average of final scores will not be considered eligible to receive a prize.*