

CAREER DEVELOPMENT AWARDS RATING SCALE:

Assigned reviewers must use the scale below to assign a preliminary score for each application in their reviewer report and all reviewers (except conflicts) will assign a final score at the meeting.

Score	Career Development Awards Rating Scale	Priority for funding
4.8-5.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exceptional candidate who is extremely likely to become a leader in cancer prevention/risk reduction research • Exceptional research environment, educational/training opportunities, mentor/supervisor • Exceptional research program with extremely significant and novel aims • Exceptional track record given career stage and potential impact of future contributions • Considerable value (in protected time) to the applicant • No weaknesses 	Highest
4.5-4.7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outstanding candidate who is very likely to become a leader in cancer prevention/risk reduction research • Outstanding research environment, educational/training opportunities, mentor/supervisor • Outstanding research program with very significant and novel aims • Outstanding track record given career stage and potential impact of future contributions • Considerable value (in protected time) to the applicant • Virtually no weaknesses 	Very High
4.2-4.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excellent candidate who is likely to become a leader in cancer prevention/risk reduction research • Excellent research environment, educational/training opportunities, mentor/supervisor • Excellent research program with significant and novel aims • Excellent track record given career stage and potential impact of future contributions • Considerable value (in protected time) to the applicant • At least one minor weakness 	High
3.9-4.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very good candidate who has the potential to become a leader in cancer prevention/risk reduction research • Very good research environment, educational/training opportunities, mentor/supervisor • Very good research program with important and novel aims • Very good track record given career stage and potential impact of future contributions • Reasonable value (in protected time) to the applicant • Some minor weaknesses 	Medium-High
3.6-3.8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good candidate who has some potential to become a leader in cancer prevention/risk reduction research • Good research environment, educational/training opportunities, mentor/supervisor • Good research program with important aims • Good track record given career stage and potential impact of future contributions • Reasonable value (in protected time) to the applicant • At least one moderate weakness 	Medium-Low
3.3-3.5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fair candidate who has limited potential to become a leader in cancer prevention/risk reduction research • Fair research environment, educational/training opportunities, mentor/supervisor • Fair research program with limited potential to yield important results • Fair track record given career stage and potential impact of future contributions • Some value (in protected time) to the applicant • Numerous moderate weaknesses 	Low
3.0-3.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor candidate who has low potential to become a leader in cancer prevention/risk reduction research • Poor research environment, educational/training opportunities, mentor/supervisor • Poor research program with low potential to yield important results • Poor track record given career stage and potential impact of future contributions • Some value (in protected time) to the applicant • At least one major weakness 	Lowest
Below 3.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unsuitable candidate has very low potential to become a leader in cancer prevention/risk reduction research • Unsuitable research environment, educational/training opportunities, mentor/supervisor • Unsuitable research program with very low potential to yield important results • Unsuitable track record given career stage and potential impact of future contributions • Very little value (in protected time) to the applicant 	None

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Numerous major weaknesses	
Unscored (mark as 0.01)	The application was triaged by the panel and not discussed. The weaknesses far outweigh the strengths and therefore there is low expectation of impact in the field of cancer prevention/risk reduction research	None